

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

ECHO CANCELLER WITH REDUCED REQUIREMENT FOR PROCESSING POWER

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to an audio communication system and method with improved acoustic characteristics, and particularly to a video conferencing system including an improved audio echo cancellation system.

DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATED ART

10 In a background conferencing system set-up that uses loudspeakers, two or more communication units are placed at separate sites. A signal transmitted from one site to another site using a conference system experiences several delays. The delay includes a transmission delay and a processing delay. For a video conferencing system, the processing delay for video signals is considerably larger than the processing delay for the audio signals.
15 Because the video and audio signals have to be presented simultaneously, in phase, a lip sync delay is purposefully introduced to the audio signal, in both the transmitting and receiving signal paths to compensate for the longer video signal delay.

In a background conferencing system, one or more microphones captures a sound wave at a site A, and transforms the sound wave into a first audio signal. The first audio signal is transmitted to a site B, where a television set or an amplifier and loudspeaker, reproduces the original sound wave by converting the first audio signal generated at site A into the sound wave. The produced sound wave at site B, is captured partially by the audio capturing system at site B, converted to a second audio signal, and transmitted back to the system at site A. This problem of having a sound wave captured at one site, transmitted to another site, and then transmitted back to the initial site is referred to as an acoustic echo. In its most severe manifestation, the acoustic echo might cause a feedback sound, when the loop gain exceeds unity. The acoustic echo also causes the participants at both sites A and B to hear themselves, making a conversation over the conferencing system difficult, particularly if there are delays in the system set-up, as is common in video conferencing systems, especially 25 due to the above mentioned lip sync delay. The acoustic echo problem is usually solved using 30 an acoustic echo canceller, described below.

In more detail, Figure 1 shows a background conferencing system set-up. For simplicity, Figure 1 shows the conferencing system set-up distributed at two sites A and B. The two sites are connected through a transmission channel 1300 and each site has a

loudspeaker 1100 and 1200, respectively, and a microphone 1111 and 1211, respectively. The arrows in Figure 1 indicate the direction of propagation for an acoustic signal, usually from the microphone to the loudspeaker.

Further, Figure 2 is an overall view of a video conferencing system. This system is

5 distributed at two sites A and B. As for the conferencing system set-up, a video conferencing module can be distributed at more than two sites and also the system set-up is functional when only one site has a loudspeaker. The video module has at site A a video capturing system 2141 that captures a video image and a video subsystem 2150 that encodes the video image. In parallel, a sound wave is captured by an audio capturing system 2111 and an audio 10 subsystem 2130 encodes the sound wave to the acoustic signal. Due to processing delays in the video encoding system, the control system 2160 introduces additional delays to the audio signal by use of a lip sync delay 2163 so to achieve synchronization between the video and audio signals. The video and audio signals are mixed together in a multiplexer 2161 and the resulting signal, the audio-video signal is sent over the transmission channel 2300 to site B. 15 Additional lipsync delay 2262 is inserted at site B. Further, the audio signal presented by the audio presenting device 2221 is materialized as a sound wave at site B. Part of the sound wave presented at site B arrives to the audio capturing device 2211 either as a direct sound wave or as a reflected sound wave. Capturing the sound at site B and transmitting this sound back to site A together with the associated delays forms the echo. All delays described sum 20 up to be considerable and therefore the quality requirements for an echo canceller in the video conferencing system are particularly high.

Next, Fig. 3 shows an example of an acoustic echo canceller subsystem, which may be a part of the audio system in the video conferencing system of Figure 2. At least one of the participant sites has the acoustic echo canceller subsystem to reduce the echo in the 25 communication system. The acoustic echo canceller subsystem 3100 is a full band model of a digital acoustic echo canceller. A full band model processes a complete audio band (e.g., up to 20 kHz; for video conferencing the band is typically up to 7 kHz, in audio conferencing the band is up to 3.4 kHz) of the audio signals directly.

As already mentioned, compensation of acoustic echo is normally achieved by an

30 acoustic echo canceller. The acoustic echo canceller is a stand-alone device or an integrated part in the case of the communication system.

The acoustic echo canceller transforms the acoustic signal transmitted from site A to site B, for example, using a linear/non-linear mathematical model and then subtracts the mathematically modulated acoustic signal from the acoustic signal transmitted from site B to

site A. In more detail, referring for example to the acoustic echo canceller subsystem 3100 at site B, the acoustic echo canceller passes the first acoustic signal 3131 from site A through the mathematical modeller of the acoustic system 3121, calculates an estimate 3133 of the echo signal, subtracts the estimated echo signal from the second audio signal 3132 captured at 5 site B, and transmits back the second audio signal 3135, less the estimated echo to site A. The echo canceller subsystem of Figure 3 also includes an estimation error, i.e., a difference between the estimated echo and the actual echo, to update or adapt the mathematical model to a background noise and changes of the environment, at a position where the sound is captured by the audio capturing device.

10 The model of the acoustic system 3121 used in most echo cancellers is a FIR (Finite Impulse Response) filter, approximating the transfer function of the direct sound and most of the reflections in the room. The FIR filter will preferably not, mainly due to processing power, provide echo cancellation in an infinite time after the signal was captured by the loudspeaker. Instead, it will accept that the echo after a given time, the so-called tail length, 15 will not be cancelled, but will appear as a residual echo.

To estimate the echo in the complete tail length, the FIR filter will need a length $L = Fs * \text{tail length}$, where Fs is the sampling frequency in Hz, and where the tail length is given in seconds.

20 The required number of each of the multiplications and additions to calculate one single sample output of the filter equals the filter length, and the output of the filter should be calculated once per sample. That is, the total number of multiplications and additions are $Fs * L = Fs * Fs * \text{tail length} = \text{tail length} * Fs^2$.

25 A typical value for a tail length is 0.25 sec. The number of multiplications and additions for $Fs = 8$ kHz system will be 16 Million, for 16 kHz 64 Million and for 48 kHz 576 Million.

30 Similar calculations could be performed for the filter update algorithm. The simplest algorithm, LMS (Least Mean Square), has a complexity proportional to the filter length, which implies a processing power requirement proportional to Fs^2 , while more complex algorithms have processing power proportional to the square of the filter length, which implies a processing power requirement proportional to Fs^3 .

One way of reducing the processing power requirements of an echo canceller is to introduce sub-band processing, i.e., the signal is divided into bands with a smaller bandwidth, which can be represented using a lower sampling frequency. An example of such system is illustrated in Fig. 4.

Analyze filters 4125, 4131 divide the full band signals from far end and near end, respectively, in N sub-bands. The echo cancellation and miscellaneous sub-band processing (typically, but not limited to non-linear processing and noise reduction) is performed in each sub-band, and thereafter a synthesize filter 5127 recreates the modified full band signals.

5 Note that in the following complexity calculations, many minor processing blocks are omitted, as their contribution to the overall processing power requirements are small.

The analyze filters 4125, 4131 include a filter bank and a decimator, while the synthesize filter 5127 includes a filter bank and an interpolator. The full band signals have sampling frequency $F_{S_{fullband}}$. The sub-band signals will have a sampling frequency of $F_{S_{sub-band}} = K/N * F_{S_{fullband}}$. K is an over sampling factor, introduced to simplify and reduce the processing power requirements of the filter bank. K is always larger than one, but most often relatively small, typically less than two.

The processing power for the filtering and adaptation (assuming FIR and LMS) for the sub-band case is:

15 $O_{sub-band} = c_1 * tail length * F_{S_{sub-band}}^2 = c_1 * tail length * (K/N * F_{S_{fullband}})^2$ (c_1 is a proportionally constant).

Thus, for a high N, the processing power requirements of the filtering can be reduced. However, for the total processing power, the overhead of the analyze and synthesize filters must be added.

20 Effective methods of analyzing and synthesizing the signals are based on a transform, for example a FFT. The methods have complexity $O_{overhead} = c_2 * N * \log_2 N$, where N is the number of subbands, and c_2 is a proportionally constant. The number of subbands will be proportional with $F_{S_{fullband}}$, and thus $O_{overhead} = c_3 * F_{S_{fullband}} * \log_2 F_{S_{fullband}}$.

That is, the total complexity is:

25 $O = O_{subband} + O_{overhead} = c_1 * tail length * (K/N * F_{S_{fullband}})^2 + c_3 F_{S_{fullband}} * \log_2 F_{S_{fullband}}$

The echo filtering/adaption is proportional to $F_{S_{fullband}}^2$. It is possible to reduce the filtering/adaption part by increasing the number of subbands, but at the expense of increased overhead for the calculations of the subband signals. Still, by using a large number of 30 subbands, i.e. using a large fast transform, it is possible to obtain a complexity which increases with $F_{S_{fullband}} * \log_2 F_{S_{fullband}}$.

Though theoretically possible, this may be difficult to achieve in practical implementations, due to cache inefficiency in signal processing when applying large transforms.

Thus, efforts have been made for providing a system allowing reduction in the number of sub-bands without increasing the sub-bandwidths.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 Accordingly, an object of the present invention to provide a system allowing a reduction in the number of sub-bands without increasing the sub-bandwidths.

To achieve this and other objects, the present invention provides a novel audio echo canceller including a first decimeter configured to decimate an echo added input signal to produce an input sub-signal, a model of an acoustic echo configured to produce an echo estimate, a first subtractor configured to subtract the echo estimate from the input sub-signal, and a first filter configured to filter the input sub-signal. Also included is a second subtractor configured to subtract the input sub-signal from a signal output by the first subtractor so as to provide an output sub-signal, a second filter configured to filter the echo added input signal, an interpolator configured to interpolate the output sub-signal output from the second subtractor so as to generate an interpolator output signal, and an adding device configured to add the echo added input signal to a signal output by the interpolator output signal.

10

15

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A more complete appreciation of the invention and many of the attendant advantages 20 thereof will be readily obtained as the same becomes better understood by reference to the following detailed description when considered in connection with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Figure 1 is an overview block diagram of a background conferencing system set-up;

Figure 2 is a more detailed block diagram of a background conferencing system set-up;

25

Figure 3 is a closer view of an acoustic echo canceller subsystem;

Figure 4 is a block diagram of the corresponding echo canceller subsystem implemented with sub-band processing; and

Figure 5 is a block diagram of an echo canceller subsystem implemented with sub-band processing according to the present invention.

30

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Referring now to the drawings, wherein like reference numerals designate identical or corresponding parts throughout the several views, the present invention will be described.

In the following description, the present invention will be discussed by describing a preferred embodiment, and by referring to the accompanying drawings. However, even if the specific embodiment is described in connection with video conferencing, people skilled in the art will realize other applications and modifications within the scope of the invention as defined in the enclosed independent claim.

The present invention realizes that not all frequencies are equally important in a high frequency echo cancelling system. In more detail, frequencies above approximate 7 kHz do not significantly contribute to speech intelligibility. However, these frequencies impact on the naturalness and experienced vicinity are considerable.

Experience has shown that both speech intelligibility and listening impression are maintained even when the returning signal at certain occurrences is low pass filtered and down sampled. In other words, an audio echo cancellation system may advantageously be designed having:

a) full duplex communication (including echo cancelling) in frequencies which contribute to speech intelligibility, to ensure that no information is lost during double talk, and

b) full bandwidth and increased naturalness during periods with single talk.

By properly embodying the above features, the exhaustive sampling frequency influence on the processing power requirements may be reduced, while still obtaining the benefits of full audible bandwidth sound.

The present invention provides a system where echo cancellation and noise reduction is treated as in the background art in communication critical frequency bands, while above this limit, voice switching is preferably used to provide high fidelity speech, and at the same time avoiding echo and feedback.

Turning now to Figure 5, which shows a preferred embodiment of the present invention, and which is based upon the sub-band echo cancellation system of Figure 4. The overall system of the preferred embodiment operates at sample rate F_{high} , and the echocanceller working on samplerate F_{low} , processing sound with frequencies below $F_{\text{low}}/2$. Note that the processing box 5000 is repeated for all sub-bands.

Before being processed by the echocanceller the signal from site B, including echo, near end sound and/or noise is decimated, i.e., lowpass-filtered and down-sampled by a factor n . The signal is also tapped and forwarded for further processing, and constitutes the part of the output signal with high frequencies (above $F_{\text{low}}/2$). The lowpass-filtered and down-sampled signal is divided into N sub-bands by the analyze filter. Since the signal that is to be

divided in the preferred embodiment of the present invention is lowpass filtered, the required magnitude of N will be reduced correspondingly.

The sub-band signal 5132 is then added to an inverted sub-band echo estimate 5133 generated by a (sub-)model 5121 of the acoustic system. As in the background art, the model 5 preferably includes a FIR filter and an associated filter update algorithm, e.g., a LMS 10 algorithm, having the corresponding sub-band signal of the audio signal from site A and a feedback loop from the result of the above-mentioned addition 5134 as inputs. The resulting signal 5134 is preferably further processed by miscellaneous processing, e.g., residual echo masking (due to the finite nature of the FIR filter, and any other model infirmities), noise 15 reduction and comfort noise addition. The resulting signal after the miscellaneous processing will include the noise reduced and echo free sub-band signal from site B in addition to comfort noise.

The above-mentioned tapped signal is preferably high pass filtered as it intends to contribute to the high frequency part of the output signal. According to the present invention, 15 this is achieved simply by subtracting the low pass filtered signal from the original signal. The lowpass-filtered signal could be provided by tapping it right after the lowpass filter in the decimator (delaying the mic signal by the proper amount of samples T), but this is not preferable as it would make the decimator processing less efficient by prohibiting the 20 integration of lowpass filtering and down sampling. The preferred way is to subtract the clean sub-band signal tapped right after the analyze filter from the processed sub-band signal right before the synthesize filter. This will make a path in the sub-band processing part merely provide the low frequencies of the site B signal, which could be used for highpass filtering 25 the by-passing signal by the already mentioned subtraction.

The lowpass filters 5142, 5139, the downampler 5141 and the upampler 5140 25 governs the highpass filter's profile, together with H_s 5136 and H_f 5138, which are further explained below. Having an appropriate delay of the bypassing signal is of course crucial for this type of filtering, this delay must be added both before and after the filtering by H_f , as H_f 's magnitude must correspond to H_s . These delays should represent the delays in the lowpass-filters, analysis-filters and synthesis-filters, as well as any additional delays.

30 The present invention provides echo cancelling and noise reduction at low frequencies, and unaltered microphone sound at high frequencies. This is desirable for near end talk, i.e., speech at site B, with a minimum of noise. However, without any level adjustments it might produce feedback, and high frequency echoes will pass right through. Feedback may even damage hearing. Hence, it is preferable to identify situations where full

audible bandwidth sound is required, and situations where high frequencies should be attenuated, respectively. According to the preferred embodiment of the present invention, the control algorithm 5137 identifies these situations, typically based on (but not limited to) fullband loudspeaker and microphone signal, subband signals of the same, subband echo 5 estimate and echo cancelled subband signal.

The control algorithm 5137 preferably provides information determining the following situations: a) near end talk, or b) either far end talk, double talk or background noise only. In the case b), the high frequency part of the sound should then be attenuated by adjusting the gain values of H_s and H_f closely to zero. Far end talk will produce echo, and 10 only noise will contain high frequency components, and might trigger feedback. Double talk situations can be handled without high frequency sound because the ear is less sensitive to high fidelity in sound reproduction while the soundscape is chaotic as for instance when people talk at the same time.

The decision making control algorithm constantly produces values, e.g., 1 for 15 situation a) and 0 for b), which is interpreted and used as a basis for the level of high frequency sound, or more precisely, the filters H_s and H_f adjusting the high-pass filter's profile. This is the most important function of the filters H_s and H_f , namely the adjustment of the magnitude of the high frequencies. In the following description, an example of a highband gain change interpretation of the decision (i.e., transition from a) to b) or vice versa), is 20 disclosed.

Assume the sound is partitioned in packages of duration 10ms, at a sample rate of $F_{\text{high}} = 48000\text{kS/s}$, and $n=3$ so that $F_{\text{low}} = 16000\text{kS/s}$. When the echo canceller operates on 25 sub-bands, the decision is typically taken once a packet, so the adjustment of the filters can be done at most once each sound-packet. As the sub-band samples are representing 10ms each in a narrow frequency band, while the signal samples from site B on the other hand each represents a small amount of time in a relatively broad frequency band, it would be obvious for one skilled in the art that the result of gain adjustments in the lower frequencies (provided by the magnitude of H_s) should accordingly be treated at high frequencies (i.e., the corresponding magnitude of H_f).

30 If the decimator, analyze filter, synthesize filter and interpolator together form a linear phase system, H_s can be reduced to a time invariant gain G_s while H_f can be replaced by appropriate delays and a time invariant gain G_f . Any change in G_s must be reflected by a timely distributed changing G_f . Only small errors are introduced by calculating G_f as a linear interpolation of consecutive G_s values.

In stable situations, i.e., silence (only noise) or far end talk, the gain of the filters H_S and H_f should stabilize at zero (no high frequency sound/noise). Near end talk will not be a very stable situation, as speech includes both sound (phrases) and silence (between phrases). Still, during phrases it is preferable to let the gain of H_S and H_f (the maximum high frequency gain) be as constant as possible and equal 1, to thereby produce full audible bandwidth sound.

Note that there might be cases of large amounts of background noise where a lower level of maximum high frequency gain (between 0 and 1) is preferable. The reduction of maximum high frequency gain will of course deteriorate the functionality of the device and is preferably solved by reducing high frequency background noise when possible.

Even though the present invention is described in connection with video conferencing, the present invention is also applicable to other equivalent applications like telephone conferences and calls, mobile telephone conferences and calls, web conferences etc.

An advantage of the present invention is that it requires lower processing power than the background art because of fewer sub-bands. Its complexity is $O = c_4 * F_{S_{low}} * \log_2 F_{S_{low}} + c_5 * F_{S_{high}}$. That is, when the bandwidth increases above the communication critical frequency bands, the complexity only scales linearly with the bandwidth.

Further, the system of the present invention may be added as a framework around existing echo cancellers, with none or only minor adjustments in the existing canceller. Thus, the present invention provides an efficient (in terms of development resources) way of increasing the bandwidth of existing echo canceller systems. It can also be used with both sub-band and full-band echo cancellers.

In addition, an improved audio quality for the near end signal during single talk may be provided. The near end signal transmitted to the far end site has not been passed through the analyze/synthesize (in the sub-band case) filter process, as the magnitude of H_f is 1. Therefore, any distortion or other quality degradations in this process are not added to the near end signal.

Obviously, numerous modifications and variations of the present invention are possible in light of the above teachings. It is therefore to be understood that within the scope of the appended claims, the invention may be practiced otherwise than as specifically described herein.